Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A lower bound for the spectrum of *N*-particle Hamiltonians

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 L1

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/34/1/101)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.124 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 08:49

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 (2001) L1-L3

www.iop.org/Journals/ja PII: S0305-4470(01)15321-7

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# A lower bound for the spectrum of *N*-particle Hamiltonians

### **Michael O'Carroll**

Departamento de Física, ICEx, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, cp 702 cep 30123-970, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

E-mail: ocarroll@fisica.ufmg.br

Received 7 July 2000, in final form 15 November 2000

#### Abstract

We consider the spectrum of an *N*-particle Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_i (1/2m_i)\Delta_{\vec{r}_i} + \sum_{i < j} V_{ij}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{ji})$  with translation-invariant pair interactions  $V_{ij}$ . *H* acts in  $L^2(R^{3N})$ . Letting  $\sigma$  denote the spectrum we obtain the lower bound  $\inf \sigma(H) \ge \sum_{i < j} \inf \sigma(h'_{ij})$  where  $h'_{ij} = -(1/2\mu'_{ij})\Delta_{\vec{r}} + V_{ij}(\vec{r}), 1 \le i < j \le N$  is the single-particle relative coordinate Hamiltonian with reduced mass  $\mu'_{ij} = (N-1)\mu_{ij}, \mu_{ij} = m_i m_j (m_i + m_j)^{-1}$  acting in  $L^2(R^3)$ . In particular, if  $\sigma(h'_{ij}) \subset [0, \infty)$  (for example, weak pair interactions) for all *i*, *j* then *H* has no negative energy spectrum. For example, if each  $V_{ij}(\vec{r})$  is in  $L^{3/2}(R^3)$  and sufficiently small it is known that  $\sigma(h'_{ij}) \subset [0, \infty)$ .

PACS number: 0230

We consider the spectrum of an *N*-particle Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_{i} T_i + \sum_{i < j} V_{ij}$ , where  $T_i = -(1/2m_i)\Delta_{\vec{r}_i}$  and  $V_{ij}$  is a real translation-invariant pair interaction acting in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ .

One of the fundamental differences between classical and quantum mechanics is that for certain classes of physically important pair potentials the spectrum of the associated selfadjoint Hamiltonian operator H is bounded from below, although the classical Hamiltonian phase space function is not lower bounded. Sufficient conditions on  $V_{ij}$  for self-adjointness and lower boundedness are well known and given in theorems X.16, 17 and 19 of [1] (see also [2]).

Considering the case when the pair potentials go to zero at infinity there is the question of the relationship between the absence of bound states in the two-body problem and the absence of a negative energy spectrum for the *N*-particle Hamiltonian. The form of the bounds in [1] do not exclude the negative energy spectrum. However, using heavy functional analytic techniques, sufficient conditions on the pair potentials which imply the absence of a negative are obtained in theorem X.III.27 of [3]. In this case the potentials are required to be weaker as N increases.

Here we give another form of a lower bound for H in terms of the sum over pairs of lower bounds for each pair Hamiltonian, but with each mass increased by a factor of N - 1.

Pair-potential conditions which imply bounds of the form,  $H \ge cN, c < 0$ , which in turn imply thermodynamic stability are given in [4]. The more difficult problem of a precise bound of the form  $H \ge cN, c > 0$ , for finite density boson systems is treated in [5].

Turning now to our bound we assume that each  $V_{ij}$  is relatively bounded in the operator or form sense with respect to  $T = \sum_i T_i$  with relative bound <1 (see [1, 2]). It is known that the associated self-adjoint Hamiltonian operator is bounded from below. We give another form of the lower bound by partitioning the Hamiltonian writing

$$H = \sum_{i \neq j} \left[ \frac{1}{2(N-1)} (T_i + T_j) + \frac{1}{2} V_{ij} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i < j} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{(N-1)} \right) (T_i + T_j) + V_{ij} \right] = \sum_{i < j} H'_{ij}$$

then, for the case of operator relative boundedness, and letting  $\sigma$  denote the spectrum we have

$$\inf \sigma(H) = \inf_{\psi \in D(T), |\psi|=1} (\psi, H\psi) \ge \sum_{i < j} \inf \sigma(H'_{ij}) = \sum_{i < j} \inf \sigma(h'_{ij})$$
(1)

where D(T) is the domain of  $T = \sum_{i} T_{i}$  and  $h'_{ij}$  is the single-particle relative coordinate Hamiltonian

$$h'_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\mu'_{ij}} + V_{ij}(\vec{r})$$

with  $\mu'_{ij} = (N - 1)\mu_{ij}$ ,  $\mu_{ij} = m_i m_j / (m_i + m_j)$  acting in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . The last equality in equation (1) is obtained by noting that  $H'_{ij}$  is unitarily equivalent to the sum of  $I \otimes h'_{ij}$  and the centre of mass Hamiltonian

$$h_{ij}^{\prime c} \otimes I = \frac{1}{2(N-1)(m_i + m_j)} \Delta_{\vec{r}_{cm}}$$

acting in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .  $h_{ii}^{c}$  has a spectrum  $[0, \infty)$ .

In closing we recall some lower bounds for h = t + v,  $t = -(1/2\mu)\Delta_{\vec{r}}$ ,  $v = v(\vec{r})$ , h acting in  $L^2(R^3)$  (see [3–6]). These conditions on v ensure that the Neumann series for

$$(h-z)^{-1} = (t-z)^{-1} [1 + v(t-z)^{-1}]^{-1}$$

or

$$(h-z)^{-1} = (t-z)^{-1} v^{1/2} [1 + v^{1/2} (t-z)^{-1} v^{1/2}]^{-1} v^{1/2}$$

converge for z real and sufficiently large negative, i.e. these zs are not in the spectrum of h.

If  $|v(t-z)^{-1}| < 1$  or  $|v^{1/2}(t-z)^{-1}v^{1/2}| < 1$  the Neumann series converge. For example, with  $v = v_2 + v_{\infty}, v_2 \in L^2, v_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}$ , and letting HS denote the Hilbert–Schmidt norm we have

$$\left| v(t-z)^{-1} \right| \leq \left| v_2(t-z)^{-1} \right|_{\mathrm{HS}} + |v_{\infty}|_{\infty} \left| (t-z)^{-1} \right| \leq |v_2|_2 \frac{2\mu}{\sqrt{8\pi (|z|2\mu)^{1/2}}} + |v_{\infty}|_{\infty} \frac{1}{|z|} < 1$$

which is not good enough to exclude the negative energy spectrum. For this exclusion we use the Rollnik condition (see [3,6])

$$\left|v^{1/2}(t-z)^{-1}v^{1/2}\right| \leqslant \left|v^{1/2}(t-z)^{-1}v^{1/2}\right|_{\mathrm{HS}} \leqslant \frac{2\mu}{4\pi} \left[\int \frac{|v(x)||v(y)|}{|x-y|} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y\right]^{1/2} < 1.$$
(2)

The Rollnik class is larger than  $L^{3/2}$  and it is known that

$$\left[\int \frac{|v(x)||v(y)|}{|x-y|^2} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y\right]^{1/2} \leqslant c|v|_{3/2}$$

where the best possible value of c is given in [7]. Our condition on v in equation (2) is weaker than the one in [3], where v is required to belong to  $L^{3/2-\varepsilon} \cap L^{3/2+\varepsilon}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

As a concrete example the spherically symmetrical pair potential

$$v(\vec{r}) = c_1 |\vec{r}|^{-\alpha} + c_2 (1 + |\vec{r}|)^{-\beta}$$

with  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $\beta > 2$  ( $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants) is in the class of potentials for which our spectral bounds hold and the right-hand side of equation (1) is finite. The negative energy spectrum is absent for  $|c_1|$  and  $|c_2|$  sufficiently small (depending on *N*), so the inequality of equation (2) is satisfied. The condition  $\beta > 2$  for the absence of a negative energy spectrum cannot be improved much as it is known (see [3]) that  $\tilde{H}$  (*H* with the centre of mass removed) has an infinite number of negative energy bound states if  $\beta < 2$  and  $c_2$  is negative.

External single-particle potentials  $v_i(\vec{r})$  can be included with  $H'_{ij} + [1/(N-1)](v_i(\vec{r}_i) + v_j(\vec{r}_i))$  replacing  $H'_{ij}$  and the inf of the spectrum of these two-body Hamiltonians is to be taken.

Of course these considerations do not give any information on the type of spectrum.

### References

- [1] Reed M and Simon B 1975 Modern Methods of Mathematical Physics vol II (New York: Academic)
- [2] Kato T 1967 Pertubation Theory of Linear Operators 2nd edn (New York: Springer)
- [3] Reed M and Simon B 1978 Modern Methods of Mathematical Phyics vol IV (New York: Academic)
- [4] Ruelle D 1969 Statistical Mechanics (New York: Benjamin-Cummings)
- [5] Lieb E and Yngvason J 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 2504-7
- [6] Simon B 1971 Hamiltonians Defined as Quadratic Forms (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
- [7] Lieb E and Loss M 1997 Analysis (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)